

# On the Lusin–Suslin and Lusin unicity theorems

Ruiyuan Chen

In this note, we give simple proofs of the following classical results of Lusin–Suslin and Lusin; see [Kec, 15.1, 18.11]. In the proof, for maximum clarity, we take the definition of **standard Borel space** to be a measurable space which is isomorphic to a Borel subset of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . See the subsequent remarks for comments on this, as well as connections between this proof and other results.

**Theorem** (generalized Lusin–Suslin). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel map between standard Borel spaces,  $Z \subseteq Y$  be an arbitrary subset such that  $f|_{f^{-1}(Z)}$  is injective. Then  $f(X) \cap Z \in \mathbf{\Delta}_1^1(Z)$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $Y = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $X$  is standard Borel, there is a Borel embedding  $g : X \hookrightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  with Borel image. For each subbasic clopen  $U_i := \{\vec{x} \mid x_i = 1\} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , there are Borel  $B_i \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  as well as  $A_i \in \mathbf{\Delta}_1^1(Z)$  such that

$$g^{-1}(B_i) = f^{-1}(U_i), \quad f^{-1}(A_i) = g^{-1}(U_i) \cap f^{-1}(Z),$$

the former since  $f$  is Borel and  $g$  is a Borel embedding, and the latter by applying  $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$  reduction to the  $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$  sets  $Y \setminus f(g^{-1}(U_i)), Y \setminus f(X \setminus g^{-1}(U_i)) \subseteq Y$  which cover  $Z$  since  $f|_{f^{-1}(Z)}$  is injective. This means the indicator functions  $\mathbb{1}_{\bar{B}} = (\mathbb{1}_{B_i})_i : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}} = (\mathbb{1}_{A_i})_i : Z \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  obey

$$(*) \quad \mathbb{1}_{\bar{B}} \circ g = f, \quad \mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}} \circ f|_{f^{-1}(Z)} = g|_{f^{-1}(Z)}$$

(see the following diagram):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 f^{-1}(Z) & \subseteq & X & & \\
 \downarrow f|_{f^{-1}(Z)} & & \downarrow f & \searrow g & \\
 Z & \subseteq & Y = 2^{\mathbb{N}} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{1}_{\bar{B}}} & 2^{\mathbb{N}} \\
 & & & \swarrow \mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}} & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Then

$$f(X) \cap Z = \{z \in Z \mid z = \mathbb{1}_{\bar{B}}(\mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}}(z)) \ \& \ \mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}}(z) \in g(X)\}.$$

Indeed,  $\subseteq$  is obvious from (\*); conversely, if  $z$  belongs to this set, then  $\mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}}(z) = g(x)$  for some  $x \in X$ , whence  $z = \mathbb{1}_{\bar{B}}(\mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}}(z)) = \mathbb{1}_{\bar{B}}(g(x)) = f(x)$ . And this set is  $\mathbf{\Delta}_1^1(Z)$ , since  $\mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}}$  is  $\mathbf{\Delta}_1^1$ -measurable.  $\square$

**Corollary** (Lusin–Suslin). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an injective Borel map between standard Borel spaces. Then  $f(X) \subseteq Y$  is Borel.

*Proof.* Take  $Z = Y$  above.  $\square$

**Corollary** (Lusin). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an arbitrary Borel map between standard Borel spaces. Then  $\exists!_f(X) := \{y \in Y \mid |f^{-1}(y)| = 1\} \subseteq Y$  is  $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ .

*Proof.* Take  $Z = \{y \in Y \mid |f^{-1}(y)| \leq 1\}$  above.  $\square$

**Remark.** The above statements imply that for Borel  $R \subseteq X \times Y$ ,  $\exists!_Y(R) := \{x \in X \mid \exists!y R(x, y)\}$  is  $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ , respectively Borel if the existence is always unique, by taking the projection  $f : R \rightarrow X$ .

**Remark.** As noted above, we took “standard Borel space” to mean a measurable space which is Borel isomorphic to a Borel subset of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  (with the induced  $\sigma$ -algebra). This is easily seen to be equivalent to the usual definition of being induced by a Polish topology, via a change of topology argument. More precisely, note that such an argument preserves the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra by definition, without using Lusin–Suslin (which would render the above proof of Lusin–Suslin circular).

**Remark.** The above proof of Lusin–Suslin, with  $\Sigma_1^1$  separation in place of  $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$  reduction when  $f$  is injective, is in some sense essentially equivalent to that given in [Ch2].

In that proof, we replaced the latter half of the above argument with the topological analogue of Lusin–Suslin, namely that a topological embedding between Polish spaces has  $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$  image. This topological result may in turn be proved via an argument like the latter half of the above; see [Ch1, 4.1]. As explained there, both this result and the latter half of Lusin–Suslin (that a Borel embedding between standard Borel spaces has Borel image) amount to the dual algebraic fact that any presentation  $\langle G \mid R \rangle$  of an algebraic structure, e.g., a finite presentation of a group, may be turned into a presentation with any other generating set  $H$  obeying the same cardinality bound.

The above proof of Lusin’s theorem is also inspired in part by the classical proof in [Kur, §39 VII] using “Lusin schemes”, which may likewise be seen as generalizing the “Lusin scheme” proof of Lusin–Suslin [Kec, 15.1].

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank Forte Shinko for asking for a simple proof of Lusin’s unicity theorem, and the subsequent discussions, that led to this write-up.

## References

- [Ch1] R. Chen, *Notes on quasi-Polish spaces*, preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.07440>, 2018.
- [Ch2] R. Chen, *A simple proof of the Lusin–Suslin theorem*, unpublished note, <https://rynchn.github.io/math/lusin-suslin.pdf>, 2018.
- [Kec] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [Kur] K. Kuratowski, *Topologie. I. Espaces Métrisables, Espaces Complets*, Monografie Matematyczne, Vol. 20, PWN, Warszawa-Wrocław, 1948.